REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Applicant thanks the Examiner for the Advisory Action dated March 23, 2005. A Request for Continued Examination is filed herewith

Amendments

Page 1 of the specification has been amended to replace the serial numbers with updated patent numbers where applicable.

The Applicant has deleted the previous amendments filed with Amendment D and, additionally, made the following amendments to the claims:

(1) The term "indicative of reference points of the control interface" has been replaced with the term "indicative of coordinates of a plurality of locations on the control interface". Basis for this amendment can be found on page 15, lines 19-22 of the specification.

(2) Independent claims 4, 5, 23 and 24 have been cancelled. The remaining dependent claims have been amended accordingly.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102 & 103

Claim 1 specifies that the coded data is indicative of "coordinates of a plurality of locations on the control interface". This coded data allows a sensing device to determine its position relative to the control interface by reading the coded data. A computer system, knowing the position of the sensing device relative to the printed control interface, can then determine the control instruction being requested and effect this instruction accordingly.

The invention, as defined in claim 1, is clearly distinguished from the system disclosed in Graf (US 5,631,984). Graf discloses a printed interface containing banking information and a code number – the MCIR (Magnetic Ink Character Recognition) code **16** shown in Fig. 1.

The MCIR number code serves as a document identifier, allowing a computer system to determine which input fields (*e.g.* payee **22**, legal amount **24**, date **26** *etc.*) are present on a particular check. This is made clear at column 5, lines 28-30:

> ***In either case, the MCIR code may be used to identify a particular preprinted check form and thus serves as a document identifier.***

and also at column 5, lines 60-67:

> *The MCIR line code, extracted from the check image **40**, is supplied to a database **45** within the issuing bank. The issuing bank may maintain, within database **45**, a preprinted check form image for each checking account at the bank. The issuing bank can therefore determine the proper check form for a given check by accessing database **45** with the MCIR code.*

Hence, the MCIR code in Graf is indicative only of a document identity, allowing a particular check form to be identified in a database.

However, the MCIR code is <u>not</u> indicative of "coordinates of a plurality of locations" on the form. Location coordinates of each input field are not identified by the MCIR code; merely a 'template' of a particular check form is identified by the MCIR code.

Therefore, in contrast to the present invention, the sensing device in Graf is not able to determine its position relative to the check form by reading the MCIR code. Clearly then, the system of Graf works by an entirely different means to that described and claimed in the present application.

For these reasons, the Applicant submits that the present invention is not anticipated by and is not obvious in view of Graf.

It is respectfully submitted that all of the Examiner's rejections have been successfully traversed. Accordingly, it is submitted that the application is now in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and allowance of the application is courteously solicited.

Very respectfully,

Applicant: Paul R.

PAUL LAPSTUN

JACQUELINE ANNE LAPSTUN

KIA SILVERBROOK

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd
393 Darling Street
Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com
Telephone: +612 9818 6633
Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762